

## REMARKS

Note the claims as presented reflect the Examiner's amendment to claim 29 specified in the Notice of Allowability.

The amendments to claims 1 and 2 clearly correct antecedent basis. The amendments do not introduce new matter or require an additional search or substantive examination. Entry of the amendments to the claims is respectfully requested.

Applicant notes the claim to priority to the parent application was made in a way that does not comply with 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2). The amendment adds the reference to the parent application that is needed to comply with 1.78(a)(2). No petition under 1.78(a)(3) is required. According to MPEP 201.11(III)(D), because (1) the noncompliant claim of priority was made within the time specified by 1.78(a)(2), by including the claim of priority in the application transmittal sheet, and (2) the claim was recognized by Office, as evidenced by the original filing receipt and PAIRS (when accessed on October 4, 2005), a petition under 1.78(a)(3) is not required to add the reference needed for the claim of priority. Entry of the amendment to the specification is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is believed that such contact would further the examination of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP



Dated: October 5, 2005

Marcel K. Bingham  
Reg. No. 42,327

2055 Gateway Place, Suite 550  
San Jose, CA 95110  
Telephone No.: (408) 414-1080 ext.206  
Facsimile No.: (408) 414-1076


1748.Palm.PSI.US.C1

### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Issue Fee; Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

on October 5, 2005

by

  
Jennifer Newell